

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,590	03/22/2001	Atul Garg	E0876	3726
45305	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP (AMDS) 1621 EUCLID AVE - 19TH FLOOR			TRAN, KHANH C	
	O, OH 44115-2191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	%					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/814,590	GARG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Tran	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 20</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9,19 and 21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 10</u> is/are objected to. 	Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,9,19 and 21</u> is/are rejected. Di⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 10</u> is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 02/01/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-16 and 19-21 are pending in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

- 2. The objection of claim 19 has been withdrawn after claims are amended to correct informalities.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 8-9, 19 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8-9, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koslov et al. U.S. Patent 5,978,420 in view of Koslov et al. in another U.S. Patent 6,236,283.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,590

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 1, Koslov et al. invention is for implementing and controlling digital filters suitable for use in modulators. As shown in figure 4, see column 4 line 51 through column 5 line 38, Koslov et al. discloses a modulator 100 including:

Page 3

- a symbol mapping circuit 102, wherein the mapping circuit 102 generates in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) phase signals.
- a complex mixer 106 for mixing the in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q)
 phase signals to generate frequency shifted data signals;
- an interpolator 115 for increasing the frequency of the frequency shifted data signals. The interpolator 115 corresponds to the claimed upsampler circuit.
 - a bandpass filter 117 for filtering the frequency shifted data signals to generate a digital representation of the modulated carrier signal. Figure 6 further shows details of the stages of the interpolation circuit that includes adjustable pass-band filter circuit in each stage. As disclosed in column 7, lines 5-20, one ore more filter configuration control signals, e.g. filter band select signals, are supplied to each of the filter circuits 206, 212, 222 to control each individual filter's transfer function, e.g. by altering filter coefficient values. In light of the aforementioned teachings, filter coefficient values of I and Q components are stored in a matrix as appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the pass-band filter circuit has a finite impulse response filter.

Art Unit: 2631

Koslov et al. does not disclose a scaler as set forth in the application claim. In another US Patent, Koslov et al. teaches a very similar structure, shown in figure 2, including a flexible pulse shaping / resampling circuit 204 to accommodate a wide range of variable input baud rates. In column 6, lines 40-60, the resampling circuit generates resampled output signal having a sample rate, which is higher than the input sample baud rates. In view of the foregoing discussion, because the resampling circuit generates re-sampled output signal having a sample rate, which is higher than the input sample baud rates, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the resampling circuit performs function as a scaler to produce a re-sampled signal at higher baud rate. Koslov et al. teaches both inventions in the same field of endeavor. The difference between the two inventions is in that U.S. Patent 6,236,283 does not disclose I and Q components. Nevertheless, because Koslov et al. teaches in the US Patent '420 that the invention applies to the complex signal, a person of average skill in the art would have recognized that U.S. Patent 6,236,283 can be modified to apply to a complex signal. Furthermore, as taught in U.S. Patent 6,236,283 that the flexible pulse shaping / resampling circuit 204 can accommodate a wide range of variable input baud rates, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that both invention can be modified to combine.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,590

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 2, referring to figure 4 of US Patent '420, Koslov et al. teaches I and Q components are applied in each stage to generate I and Q components as set forth in the claim.

Regarding claim 3, referring to figure 4 of US Patent '420, the input signal is digital and can be wide range of variable input baud rates. In view of that, the claimed limitation is within Koslov et al. teaching.

Regarding claim 4, as well known in the art, I and Q components are relatively balanced as part of circuit design. Therefore, the resampling circuit 204 provides the scaled signals having similar signal strength independent of baud rate.

Regarding claim 8, Koslov et al. does not teach specific baud rates and sampling frequency as claimed. However, figure 3 of US Patent '283 illustrates a plot of upsampling ratios used for a plurality of input baud rates. In light of Koslov et al. teachings, the claimed limitations are also found obvious in view of Koslov et al. teachings.

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 8 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 19, claim 19 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 9 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 21, claim 21 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 1 because of similar scope.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-6, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 15-16 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 15, claim is allowed over prior art of record because the cited references do not teach or suggest the claimed step of "complex mixing the scaled data signal as set forth in the application claim".

7. Claim 20 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/814,590 Page 7

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 20, claim is allowed over prior art of record because the cited references do not teach or suggest the claimed step of "wherein: the finite impulse response filtering is 16 tap filtering and each set of filter coefficients includes 9 non-zero coefficients, each coefficient being a 10 bit coefficient".

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/814,590

Art Unit: 2631

KCT

Khanhcongthan 06/09/2005 Examiner KHANH TRAN

Page 8